



Previous MR Committee TG Reports

-/////////

Previous AAPM MRI acceptance testing and QA guidelines:

- Acceptance Testing of Magnetic Resonance Imaging Systems: Report of AAPM Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Task Group No. 6 Och, Clarke, Sobol, Rosen, Mun. Med Phys 19(1):217-229, 1992.
- Quality Assurance Methods and Phantoms for Magnetic Resonance Imaging Price, Axel, Morgan, Newman, Perman, Schneiders, Selikson, Wood, Thomas. Report of Task Group No. 1, AAPM Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Committee, Med Phys 17(2):287-295, 1990.



Levels of Involvement

 $- \wedge \sim$

- Naturally, it is preferable to have involvement at each of the following stages:
 - System specification (scanner type, options, etc.)
 - System siting (construction, remodeling, interference from/with surrounding equipment)
 - Site testing (shielding)
 - System testing
 - System testing
- Practically, this seldom happens!

AAPM MR TG1

MR Acceptance Test Reality Check

-///////

- What can I test independently? What tests can I accomplish using the vendor's service tools and/or reports?
- For independent testing, what tools (hardware *and* software) are necessary? Do I have them? If not, is it worth acquiring or developing them?
- I have *at least* 5 major pulse sequence classes, 3 principal planes (not including obliques), and 10 or more RF coils. Just how much do (can) I test?
- What are the unique applications of the MR systems at the particular site?

Outline

- Siting testsGeneral system checks
- Phantoms for acceptance testing
- · Magnet subsystem tests
- RF subsystem tests
- · Gradient subsystem tests
- Combined RF/Gradient tests
- Global system tests
- Testing of optional capabilities, *e.g.*, EPI and MRS

MR Siting Issues

- MR facilities are, in several specific ways, unique with respect to site planning, construction, and commissioning.
- Each of the following issues should be considered:
 - MR safety issues and access control
 - Vibration
 - Floor loading and access for equipment delivery
 - Magnetic field shielding
 - RF shielding
 - Cryogen exhaust and other emergency systems
 Reuse of MR scan room for other uses
 - . (
 - ~~~~ AAPM MR TG1 \/~~~~





Siting - Vibration

 $\Lambda \sim$

- Excessive vibration levels will result in image artifacts (ghosting in the phase-encoding direction).
- Most MR system vendors specify acceptable transient and steady-state vibration levels (as a function of frequency).
- With modern magnets that are smaller and lighter, vibration is more of an issue than it was previously.
- Before system installation it is recommended to have an acoustical engineering contractor perform transient and steady-state vibration measurements.







When is Magnetic Shielding Required?

 $\Lambda \sim$

- To constrain 5G lines, if necessary.
- To minimize effects of the magnet on equipment in adjacent rooms, particularly those devices that require highly accurate focusing of drifting charged particles!
- Some MR vendors provide proximity charts that give guidelines for various types of equipment.

0.5 GAUSS (0.05mT) OR LESS	Nuclear cameras	<u> </u>	
1 GAUSS (0.1mT) OR LESS	Positron Emission Tomography scanner Linear Accelerator Cyclotrons Accurate Messuring scale Image intensifiens Color TV	Video display (color, BW, monochron CT scanner Utrasound Lithet/plor Electron microscope Advantage Workstation with CRT Mo	
3 GAUSS (0.3mT) OR LESS	Power transformers Main electrical distribution transformers Moving steel equipment euch as:	 Loading dock (truck traffic) Elevators Elevators Helicopters (See Note 3) 	
5 GAUSS (0.5mT) OR LESS	Cardiac pacemakers Neurostimulators	Biostimulation devices	

----- AAPM MR TGI

Example Proximity Chart (cont.)

10 GAUSS (1mT) OR LESS	Magnetic topes and floopy discs Hard copy imagers Line printers Vision Cassattle Recorder (VCR) Filip processer Credit cands, watching station Taleptone awtiching station Walar cooling aquipment HVXC equipment	Major mochanical suplament room Large steel acujament suplament room Ernergenory spenrators Communical laundy sculpment Food preparation area Are constituents on their Fuel starage tanks Mono agreement on 5 persepower X-ray tubas.
30 GAUSS (3mT) OR LESS	RFS Cabinet Twin Accessory Cabinet (TAC) 32 Channel Cabinet (Option)	MRCC equipment GWHX equipment Chilled Air blower (CAB) for IPCM Option
5) GAUSS (5mT) OR LESS	GOC Computer Cabinet GOC Computer Cabinet GOC Color Monitor for OW (See note 5) NB RF Amp Cabinet HFD:rPIOL Cabinet Mignit Disconnect Panel Mignut Monitor Telephones	Metal detector for screening LCD Color Monitor for Advantage Workstation Broadband (BB) RF Amplifier Cabinet tor SKN MINS (Cption) BrainWarw HW Lie Cabinet (Option) CAB-MDP for IPCM Option
100 GAUSS (10mT) OR LESS	Shleid/Cryo Coole: Compressor Cabinet Service Tool Megnet Power Supply Cabinet Service Tool Shim Power Supply Cabinet	Pneumatic Patient Alert Control Box Oxygen Monitor (Option)
200 GAUSS (20mT) OR LESS	Penatration Panel Diswer Dox	Magnet Rundown Unit Remote Oxygen Sensor Module



Siting - Magnetic Field Shielding

- Fringe fields should be measured with handheld gaussmeter and mapped onto site drawings.
- 5 G lines should be posted using appropriate signage (multilingual as needed). Any persons with cardiac pacemakers or neurostimulators should not enter the 5 G exclusion zone.
- Map fringe fields in patient waiting areas, restrooms, patient corridors, *etc.* as well as technical areas, particularly at the scan console.

(1.5T scanner: ~14G [unshielded], ~1G [shielded])







Siting - RF Shielding

 $\Lambda \wedge \infty$

- RF and ground isolation tests should be measured *before* MR system is in place, but *after* as much room construction as possible is complete. A second RF test after all equipment is in place is very useful as a baseline and is recommended.
- Physicist should be present during testing and should receive and maintain certificate of RF shielding performance from vendor or subcontractor.

----- AAPM MR TGI



_____ AAPM MR TGI



AAPM MR TGI $\lambda \sim$

- The RF door "fingers" provide good electrical contact of the shielded door and the rest of
- If the fingers are damaged, as decreases and will ultimately artifacts (or cause them on an

$\Lambda \wedge \sim$ • To assure integrity of RF shield: - Single ground (near penetration panel) - minimize ground loops

Siting – RF Shielding Issues

- Dielectric couplers or low pass electrical filters for any conductor that penetrates the shield.
- All electrical feeds are low pass filtered.
- Non-conductive connections pass through waveguides.
- For any conductor that cannot have a dielectric coupler, e.g. medgas lines, fire safety lines, etc., they should pass through the shield within a ~2 ft distance from the main shield ground.

• Also:

- Lighting circuits are typically DC (definitely no fluorescents).

Phantoms

- · A variety of phantoms are required for full acceptance testing of MR scanners.
- Basic geometries: spherical and cylindrical.
- All phantoms should be filled with tissue-mimicking gels or fluids. (Short T₁, ~200-400 ms, improves efficiency.)
- Several useful phantoms (*e.g.*, head and body coil spheres with loading cylinders) are usually maintained onsite by the vendor's service engineers.

Phantoms $-\sqrt{N}$

- Several phantoms are, of course, available for purchase from other vendors.
- For sites that undergo the ACR MR Accreditation Program process, the ACR phantom is required and can be used as part of the acceptance testing.
- Some very useful phantoms can be manufactured, based on AAPM guidelines, for quite reasonable prices.

Phantoms

For "homemade" phantoms, a useful filling material is given by the AAPM acceptance testing document:

- 1 liter H₂O
- 3.6 g NaCl (to simulate conductivity of tissue)
- 1.25 g CuSO₄ or 1.96 g CuSO₄•5H₂O (to shorten T₁)
- Yields solution with T₁~200ms and σ ~0.8 S/cm.

Alternate solution (ACR phantom): 10 mM NiCl₂ and 75 mM NaCl. The advantage of using NiCl₂ is decreased dependence of T_1 values on temperature as compared to CuSO₄.



----- AAPM MR TGI

Phantoms



_____/\/~~

<u>Vendor Phantoms</u> <u>Left:</u> Head Sphere with Loading Cylinder <u>Right:</u> Body Sphere with Loading Cylinder

Left: MDACC Linearity and High Contrast Resolution Phantom <u>Middle</u>: Vendor DQA Phantom <u>Right:</u> MDACC Slice Thickness and

Spacing Phantom

AAPM MR TGI



Phantoms

ACR MR Accreditation Phantom

J.M. Specialty Parts 11689-Q Sorrento Valley Road San Diego, CA 92121 619 794-7200

Large Phantom: \$1050

Small Phantom: \$ 780 (Ortho) (as of 1/15/09)

^~~~~~





General System Checks

 ΛM

- Patient safety
 - Patient alert system
 - Patient/console intercom system
 - Table stop buttons (magnet housing and console)
 - Emergency stop buttons
 - Emergency table release mechanism
 - Emergency rundown unit (tested by vendor trust, but verify)
 - Door switches
- Patient setup and comfort
 - Table docking, raising, lowering, and motion
 - Alignment and bore lights, ventilation systems
 - ----- AAPM MR TGI

General System Checks

 $\Lambda \wedge \sim$

- Table Location Accuracy / Linearity
 Weight-loaded table with measured vs displayed positions.
- Scanner functions
 - Start, Pause, Stop scan buttons on console and magnet housing.
 - Filming options and camera interface (SMPTE patterns, etc.).
 - Network interface (manual and/or automatic image transfer to other scanners, workstations, archives, *etc.*).
 - Cursor controls, image paging, magnification, etc.
 - Basic & advanced image analysis options (*e.g.*, MIP, reformatting)
 - 1g) _____ ларм mr tgi \///

General System Checks

_/\//~~

Patient monitoring and gating equipment Common monitors and interfaces:

- · Peripheral gating interface (pulse oximeter)
- Respiratory gating interface (bellows)ECG interface and monitor
- Testing of these devices for scanner gating purposes can usually be accomplished by the medical physicist.
- Testing of these devices for critical patient monitoring is best performed by vendor and/or biomedical engineering department, with medical physicist involvement at testing or in review of tests.

General System Checks $\Lambda \sim$ MR-compatible power injectors

- Becoming more and more common due to expanded uses in
 - · breath-hold dynamic contrast agent-enhanced (DCE) imaging
- · contrast-agent enhanced MR angiography
 - dynamic susceptibility change (DSC) "perfusion" imaging

For the injectors and monitoring equipment:

- The systems should be tested with all components "in position" in the scan and operator rooms.
- Installation documents should become part of acceptance tests.

Magnetic Field Homogeneity

 $\Lambda \sim$

- Excellent static magnetic field (B₀) homogeneity is required throughout the active imaging volume.
- · Particularly poor homogeneity will result in geometric distortions of images.
- Poor homogeneity will result in areas of inferior fat suppression on large FOV scans when using chemical shift or "fat-sat" lipid suppression techniques.
- · Ultrafast imaging and spectroscopy requires higher levels of magnetic field homogeneity than does "routine imaging".

Magnetic Field Homogeneity

B₀ homogeneity is usually specified in terms of frequency spread (in Hz or ppm) across a given diameter of spherical volume (DSV).

FWHM(Hz) $FWHM (ppm) = \frac{TWHM (Re)}{42576000 (Hz/Tesla) B_0(Tesla)}$

- The required homogeneity depends on the applications of the MR scanner. <u>Possible</u> values are:
 - Routine imaging: ≤ 0.5 ppm RMS at 35 cm DSV
 - Fast imaging (including EPI): ≤ 0.1 ppm RMS at 35 cm DSV
 - Spectroscopy: ≤ 0.1 ppm RMS at 35 cm DSV

Magnetic Field Homogeneity

-1

Measurement Technique #1: Spectral peak

- <u>Phantom</u>: Spherical phantom containing doped H₂O with a diameter equivalent to the desired DSV.
- <u>Acquisition</u>: Obtain spectrum from phantom with spectral resolution significantly better than the expected frequency spread.
- Measure the FWHM of the peak to obtain the average homogeneity in Hz (or convert to ppm).
- Advantage: Fast.
- Disadvantages: Can't examine individual planes. Limited DSV values. Some scanners don't have the necessary measurement tools.





Magnetic Field Homogeneity

 $\Lambda \sim$

Measurement Technique #2: Phase mapping

- <u>Phantom</u>: Spherical phantom containing doped H₂O with a diameter larger than the maximum desired DSV.
- <u>Acquisition</u>: Acquire spoiled gradient-echo image with two different echo times separated by a few milliseconds.
- Reconstruct images in "phase image" mode rather than "magnitude image" mode, and subtract the two images.

-----V AAPM MR TG1 V





Magnetic Field Homogeneity

 $\Lambda \wedge \sim$

- Overall, the phase mapping technique probably provides the best mechanism for routinely evaluating field homogeneity.
- Phase-maps in several planes can be obtained to determine the spherical harmonic coefficients and allows a means of "shimming" the magnet.
- Vendor may provide use of phase-mapping acquisition and analysis tools, or at least the results.
- <u>Suggestion</u>: Obtain a hardcopy of vendor's final homogeneity map and shim coefficients for documentation and baseline.

AAPM MR TG1 V





______ AAPM MR TGI

LVshim Report Exam 50196, Series 2, Image (Fri Jan 24 20:35:23 1997) Scan Bandwidth = 200 Hz Field of View = 50 cm

Field of View = 50 cm Sampling Diameter = 22 cm

Inhomogeneity 3.19 Hz (0.050 ppm)



Magnetic Field Drift
/\/
The measurement of the Larmor frequency ("center frequency")
provides a means of determining the magnetic field strength and monitoring drift.
v (Hz) = $\gamma B_0(T)$, where $\gamma = 42.57$ MHz/T for ¹ H.
<u>Phantom</u> : Homogeneous phantom containing doped-H ₂ O preferred; can use ACR phantom.
Acquisition: Use prescan function to obtain and center spectrum and record the frequency.
Note: Supercon magnets may exhibit substantial field drift (~10-20 Hz/d) for 1-2 months after installation.
Criteria: < 1ppm/d or as specified (typically < 0.25 ppm/d later).

•





RF Subsystem Tests Acquire images with minimal slice gap using primary pulse sequences and look for central zipper artifacts and ghost images which may indicate RF calibration or hardware problems. Check to see if the "autoprescan" values for transmit and receive gains match what you determine manually. For gradient-echo sequences, repeat the sequence for several values of nutation angle and plot signal intensity vs nutation angle (should show sinusoidal dependence, max @ 90°, zero @ 180°). (Use TR >> T₁.)

AAPM MR TGI



RF Stabi	lity		RF S	ubsys	tem Tes	<u>ts</u>
		Z	AXIS STAF	BILITY		
Echo Pos. (msec)	Mag. E P-P (%)	Drift RMS	Freq. D P-P (Hz)	nift RMS	Phase Dr P-P (Deg)	rift RMS
3.69 =======	0.53 ======	0.11	1.40	0.32	2.75	0.53
	_		١٥	ô o		

Gradient Subsystems Tests Distance Accuracy and Geometric Distortions The primary factors influencing geometric distortions in MRI are gradient field nonlinearity and, to a much lesser degree, B₀ field nonuniformity. Even with the significant effort to design highly linear gradient fields, vendors must still utilize a post-acquisition correction algorithm, *e.g.*, "gradwarp", to minimize distortions due to gradient nonlinearities.

- B_o field inhomogeneity can become significant as one moves further from magnet isocenter or uses very low bandwidth.





Graalei	nt Field Nonlinearity Effects
	In-Plane Distortion
	$\wedge \wedge $
	Slice Plane at 20 cm from
	Shee I have at 20 em from



Isocenter

- 20 cm FOV
- White circles: with gradient non-linearity correction
- Black circles: without gradient nonlinearity correction
- Maximum error without correction: ~ 5.5 cm at ± 10 cm from isocenter
- Maximum error with correction: < 2 mm at ±10 cm from isocenter

AAPM MR TGI

Gradient Subsystems Tests $\Lambda \sim$ Distance Accuracy and Linearity Tests <u>Phantom</u>: High contrast grid or hole pattern or other phantom with accurately known high contrast physical dimensions.

- <u>Acquisition</u>: Spin-echo, T_1 -weighted images provide good quality images in a reasonable time. 3 principal planes, on- and off-isocenter. Cover adequate volume for application (particularly if using images for image-guided therapy)
- Analyses (in all 3 principal planes):
- Distance accuracy
- · Geometric distortion
- · Coefficient of variation of spacing of holes/grid (linearity test)

Gradient Subsystems Tests Jostance Accuracy and Linearity Tests (cont.) - Distance Accuracy Tests • Distance Accuracy Tests • Object and Linearity Tests (cont.) • Distance Accuracy Test • Δ_{meas} VS Δ_{actual} • Δ_{meas} VS Δ_{actual} • Can also verify accuracy of reported FOV and scanner's built-in distance measurement tools. (Important if such tools are to be used for later acceptance tests.) • Geometric Distortion (per AAPM TG-6) • %GD = 100 • (Δ_{actual} - Δ_{meas}) / Δ_{actual} • Compute in each direction for each plane. • Criteria: Should not exceed 2%.







		///////
	GRADCAL	
Axis	Diameter (mm)	Center (mm)
X	170.1	2.1
Y	170.0	-8.8
Z	169.8	-1.4



Gradient Subsystems Tests

 $-\sqrt{}$

Eddy Current Evaluation

- Eddy currents produce transient magnetic fields that oppose the applied linear gradient fields. Difficulties in obtaining very rapid gradient switching rates are primarily due to these fields and can limit fast imaging applications, particularly EPI, and have a strong detrimental effect on MRS.
- Most high-field system manufacturers now produce "actively shielded" gradient systems consisting of two concentric coils. The outer coil serves to cancel the magnetic field gradient outside the two coils while maintaining linearity inside the inner coil.

Gradient Current Gradient Current Control Eddy Current Control Eddy Current Control Eddy Current Control Current Current Control Current Control Current Current





Gradient Subsystems Tests

 \sim

Eddy Current Evaluation (cont.)

- The eddy current evaluation, while important, is difficult to independently obtain given commonly available measurement tools.
- Suggestion: Maintain hardcopy of vendor's final eddy current calibration tests.
- Note: Diffusion-weighted EPI scans and/or spectroscopy scans can also be useful indirect means of assessing eddy current compensation, if the scanner has such capabilities.

				^
Current	Evaluation	(cont.)		0
	Time (ms)	B0=X	G1=X	G2=Y
Start	End	B0	61	62
2.3	11.3	0.052	0.008	-0.030
21.0	30.0	0.035	0.011	-0.021
41.0	50.0	-0.013	-0.000	-0.017
91.0	100.0	0.026	-0.009	-0.008
	Time (ms)	B0=Y	G1=Y	G2=Z
Start	End	B0	G1	G2
2.3	11.3	-0.058	0.004	0.060
21.0	30.0	-0.047	0.013	0.043
41.0	50.0	-0.057	-0.002	0.031
91.0	100.0	-0.032	-0.018	0.013
	Time (ms)	B0=Z	G1=Z	G2=X
Start	End	B0	G1	G2
2.3	11.3	0.008	-0.012	-0.007
21.0	30.0	0.014	-0.001	-0.004
41.0	50.0	0.020	0.001	-0.005
01.0	100.0	-0.001	-0.009	-0.004

Combined RF/Gradient Tests

Slice Thickness

- The slice thickness in MRI is ideally determined by the gradient amplitude and the bandwidth of the slice-selective RF pulse, *i.e.*, $\Delta z = \Delta \omega / (\gamma \text{ G})$.
- Slice thickness is influenced by gradient field nonuniformity, RF field nonuniformity, RF pulse shape, and TR/T_1 ratio.
- Several phantoms have been designed to measure slice thickness and are commercially available. These include "step" designs, spiral "corkscrew" designs, and, most commonly, ramps.
 - AAPM MR TG1

Combined RF/Gradient Tests Stice Thickness (cont.) Agasument Method: "Hot" Ramp Phantom Phantom Shantom Crossed-ramp shortom filled with doped-H₂O such that T₁ ≤ 20 ms. (Crossed-ramps correct for a tilted phantom glacement in the scanner.) Use TR ≥ 3T₁. Width of ramp material should be small w.r.t. minimum slice thickness desired. If *a* and *b* are the measured FWHM values on each of one set of ramps, then, for orthogonal ramps, the average FWHM is √ab.

Combined RF/Gradient Tests

 $\sqrt{}$

Slice Thickness (cont.)

- For arbitrary angle, ϕ , between ramps: $FWHM = \frac{(a+b)\cos\phi + \sqrt{(a+b)^2\cos^2\phi + 4ab\sin^2\phi}}{2\sin\phi}$
- Note: Accuracy of measurement depends on thickness of the ramp material and angle of the ramps. The thinner the ramp material, the more accurate BUT lower SNR.
- For a 90° ramp at 45° to scan plane, the ramp thickness should be <20% of the slice FWHM, *i.e.*, a 5 mm slice needs a 1 mm ramp to have error <20%.
- Criteria: $\pm 10\%$ for prescribed slice thickness $\geq 5mm$ (T₁W SE)









Global System Tests Signal-to-Noise Ratio - SNR is influenced by slice thickness, pixel size, pulse sequence, sampling bandwidth, RF coil (design, tuning, loading), TE, TR, number of averages...essentially everything. - Must set and consistently use all parameters on all scanners and on each run. - Criteria: Should agree with vendor's specified values. Problem: Vendor probably does not use similar means of determining SNR. Also, many vendors do not provide SNR specs for coils.

Global System Tests

Signal-to-Noise Ratio (cont.)

- AAPM suggested measurement is the NEMA approach (MS1).
- Phantom: Uniform doped-H2O sphere or cylinder.
- <u>Acquisition</u>: Two images of same location using *identical* acquisition parameters. Repeat for all planes.
- <u>Analysis</u>: Subtract the images, use an ROI that encompasses at least 75% of the phantom, then

$$NR_{NEMA} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma}$$

where *S* is the signal in the large ROI and σ_{diff} is the standard deviation of the noise in the same ROI in the difference image.





Global System Tests Signal-to-Noise Ratio (continued) • Some scanners do not allow for calculation of difference images. • Single image SNR can be determined from the same signal ROI, along with a noise ROI in the background (air). Make sure noise ROI excludes any ghosting. SNR = $\frac{\overline{S}}{\left[\sigma_{blg}/\sqrt{2-\frac{\pi}{2}}\right]} \approx \frac{0.655}{\sigma_{blg}}$ • Factor of 0.655 accounts for Rician distribution in low signal areas of magnitude images (Gudbjartsson and Patz, Magn Reson Med 34:910, 1995).

				Λ
				/\/
Noise	Inoise	Qnoise		
2.83	2.98	2.83		
Pk VB Sig	Av VB Sig	Pk B1 Sig	Av B1 Sig	
8269.67	6539.99	14.24	3.58	
Plane	Signal	SNR	Area	Hot Pixel
Ax	296.8	104.7	25700	318
Sag	295.4	104.2	25006	317
Cor	292.2	103.0	24825	316
	SNR	Histograms		
Plane	Max	Mean	St Dev	
Ax	19.0	9.0	7.71	
Sag	20.0	7.6	6.93	
Cor	18.0	7.1	6.10	



Global System Tests

-///~~

Percent Image Uniformity

- Affected by B_1 inhomogeneities, B_0 inhomogeneities, eddy currents, and gradient uniformity.
- <u>Phantom</u>: Uniform phantom used for SNR tests.
- <u>Acquisition</u>: With phantom occupying ≥80% of FOV, use SE sequence. Repeat for all 3 principal planes.

- <u>Analysis</u>: Use ROI enclosing at least 75% of image $\underline{PIU = 100 \bullet \left[1 - \frac{\left(\overline{S}_{max} - \overline{S}_{min}\right)}{\left(\overline{S}_{max} + \overline{S}_{min}\right)}\right]}$

- Criteria: PIU
$$\ge 90\%$$
.











-----V AAPM MR TGI V







"Ultra-Fast" Imaging QA Issues

- High-speed imaging techniques that rapidly acquire a "train" of uniquely phase-encoded echoes each TR period are susceptible to several additional forms of artifacts. The artifacts worsen as the "echo train length" (ETL) increases. (Longer ETL => faster image acquisition times.)
- Ultra-fast sequences, such as echo planar imaging (EPI), are even more susceptible to such artifacts.

----- AAPM MR TGI



Echo-Planar Imaging Tests

 $\Lambda \Lambda \wedge$

- Echo-planar imaging (EPI) is the most widely utilized sequence for obtaining MR images extremely rapidly (down to 50 ms/image).
- There are no established guidelines for EPI acceptance tests or quality assurance.
- In "single-shot EPI", all frequency- and phase-encodings are performed in a single TR. Accomplishing this requires extremely fast switching of the gradients and high sampling bandwidth (~100-250 kHz, often analog).

_____ AAPM MR TGI



MR Imaging Biomarkers



----- AAPM MR TGI

EPI Acceptance Testing

 $\Lambda \wedge \infty$

• Distortion and shift

- B0-field inhomogeneity or susceptibility-induced
- eddy currents (especially in diffusion imaging)
- off-resonance effects (chemical shift, magnetic susceptibility variations, *etc.*)
- Ghosting
 - eddy currents
 - asymmetric filter response of analog RF receivers







EPI Acceptance Testing

 ΛM

Geometric Distortion and Ghosting

- Phantom
 - Uniform sphere with a diameter of ~10-15 cm
- Acquisition protocol
 - Single-shot EPI (typically a SE-EPI diffusion sequence)
 - TR ≈ 5000 ms, TE ≈ 100 ms
 - FOV = 24 cm, 5 mm slice
 - 128 x 128 matrix
 - ------ AAPM MR TGI







EPI A	Acceptance	Testing
102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102	EPIStobility Results: kein Signer 20123 Stranger 20123 Stra	Current criteria: 0.25% variation
Валона Валона 4022 4022 4022 4022 3020 100 2 20 3020 100 2 20 2 20 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 300 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100 3020 100	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	



MR Imaging Biomarkers

Anatomic	Angiograph	nic 🗕	Physiologic
	alandar film har for the film has been been been been been been been bee	Iı	n Vivo Spectroscopy
A -	vor wan palmenter	Nź	AA: N-acetylaspartate
	where where particular	Ch	no: Choline compounds
Cho NAA		Cr	:: Creatine/ phosphocreati
hall harden	W Marger Lac	La	ic: Lactate
Cho Cr	NAA Cho/Cr		







-----V AAPM MR TG1 -------



